

CHAOS AND CONTROL IN NONLINEAR BLOCH SYSTEM

*Biswambhar Rakshit¹, Papri Saha² and A. Roy Chowdhury^{*3}*

High Energy Physics Division

Department Of Physics

Jadavpur University

Kolkata - 700032

India

Abstract

The dynamics of two nonlinear Bloch systems is studied from the viewpoint of bifurcation and a particular parameter space has been explored for the stability analysis based on stability criterion. This enables the choice of the desired unstable periodic orbit from the numerous unstable ones present within the attractor through the process of closed return pairs. A generalized active control method have been discussed for two Bloch systems arising from different initial conditions.

¹e-mail: biswa_ju2004@hotmail.com

²e-mail: papri_saha@yahoo.com

^{3*}(corresponding author) e-mail: arcphy@cal2.vsnl.net.in

1 Introduction

The simple Bloch equations exhibit the dynamics of an ensemble of spins which do not exhibit mutual coupling. The magnetization dynamics can be determined from the linear nature of these equations. However, in high field Nuclear Magnetic Resonance(NMR), these simple structures break down due to the presence of radiation damping[1] or a demagnetizing field[2]. Manifestations of nonlinear spin dynamics could be observed due to the presence of an additional field which is proportional to the components of the magnetization. The dipolar magnetizing field could be shown to give rise to multiple echoes in liquid helium[2] and in water at high magnetic field[3]. Moreover, radiation damping or demagnetizing field effects [4–6] can result in the existence of pseudo-multiquanta peaks. Complex nonlinear behaviour of the nuclear spins at high magnetic field was recently demonstrated through manipulation of a large magnetization by radiation damping based electronic feedback and giving rise to a train of steady state maser pulses of the water magnetization[7].

Recently, Abergel[8] investigated the possibility of observing chaotic solutions of the Bloch equations. The various anomalies that arise in NMR experiments have been studied in terms of chaos theory. Uçar et al extended the calculation of Abergel and demonstrated the synchronization of two such Bloch systems through ‘Master’ and ‘Slave’ arrangement through a suitably designed controller.

The work of OGY and Pecora and Carroll[9] led to wide applications outside the traditional scope of chaos and nonlinear dynamics. This has led to the establishment of two active areas of research viz. synchronization and control. Recent problems cast the problem of chaos synchronization in the framework of nonlinear control theory. This unifies the study of chaos control and chaos synchronization.

Pyragas have proposed two methods of permanent chaos control with small time continuous perturbation in the form of linear feedback[10]. The stabilization of a unstable periodic orbit (UPO) of a chaotic system is achieved either by combined linear feedback with the use of a specially designed external oscillator or by delayed self controlling linear feedback.

An open-plus-closed-loop (OPCL) method of controlling nonlinear dynamic systems was presented by Jackson and Grosu[11]. The input signal of their method is the sum of Hübner’s open-loop control and a particular form of a linear closed-loop control, the goal of which can be selected as one of the UPO’s embedded in the chaotic attractor or another possible smooth functions of ‘ t ’. The asymptotic stability of the controlled nonlinear system is realized by the linear approximation around the stabilized orbit. But the calculation of the closed-loop control signal is very difficult in some cases, specially for complex and high dimensional chaotic systems.

Yu. et al [12] proposed a method for controlling chaos in the form of special nonlinear feedback. The validity of this method based on the stability criterion of linear system and can be called stability criterion method(SC method). The construction of a nonlinear form of limit continuous perturbation feedback by a suitable separation of the system in the SC method does not change the form of the desired UPO. The closed return pair technique[13] is utilised to estimate the desired periodic orbit chosen from numerous UPO’s embedded within a chaotic attractor. The advan-

tage of this method is that the effect of the control can be generalized directly without calculation of the maximal lyapunov exponent of the UPO using the linearization of the system. This method does not require linearization of the system around the stability orbit and calculation of the deviation at UPO's. The method has been used by researchers in the control of Rössler system, chaotic altitude motion of a spacecraft and the control of two coupled Duffing oscillators.

In this communication, the bifurcation analysis of the nonlinear Bloch equations in a particular parameter regime has been discussed and stability criterion method has been used to investigate the synchronization of two such Bloch systems. The technique of generalized active control is also used for deriving a controlled trajectory of the system.

2 Formulation

The model is derived from a magnetization \mathbf{M} precessing in the magnetic induction field \mathbf{B}_0 in the presence of a constant radiofrequency field \mathbf{B}_1 with intensity $\mathbf{B}_1 = \frac{\omega_1}{\gamma}$ and frequency ω_{rf} . A magnetization-dependent field \mathbf{B}_{FB} [14]:

$$\mathbf{B}_{FB} = \gamma G \mathbf{M}_t e^{-i\psi},$$

with

$$\mathbf{M}_t = M_x + iM_y$$

where G is the enhancement factor with respect to the magnitude of the magnitude of the transverse magnetization and ψ is the phase of the feedback field. The following modified nonlinear Bloch equation govern the evolution of the magnetization,

$$\dot{M}_x = \delta M_y + G M_z (M_x \sin(\psi) - M_y \cos(\psi)) - \frac{M_x}{T_2} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\dot{M}_y = -\delta M_x - \omega_1 M_z + G M_z (M_x \cos(\psi) + M_y \sin(\psi)) - \frac{M_y}{T_2} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\dot{M}_z = \omega_1 M_y - G \sin(\psi) (M_x^2 + M_y^2) - \frac{(M_z - M_0)}{T_1} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\delta = \omega_{rf} - \omega_0$ and T_1, T_2 are the longitudinal time and transverse relaxation time respectively. The above three equations are transformed by introducing the reduced dimensionless variables:

$$t \rightarrow \omega_1 t, \quad G \rightarrow M_0 \frac{G}{\omega_1} = \gamma, \quad \delta \rightarrow \delta/\omega_1, \quad T_{1,2} \rightarrow \omega_1 T_{1,2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$M_x \rightarrow M_x/M_0 = x \quad M_y \rightarrow M_y/M_0 = y \quad M_z \rightarrow M_z/M_0 = z.$$

The nonlinear Bloch system is then expressed as,

$$\dot{x} = \delta y + \gamma z (x \sin(c) - y \cos(c)) - \frac{x}{\Gamma_2} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\dot{y} = -\delta x - z + \gamma z(x \cos(c) + y \sin(c)) - \frac{y}{\Gamma_2} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\dot{z} = y - \gamma \sin(c)(x^2 + y^2) - \frac{(z - 1)}{\Gamma_1} \quad (2.6)$$

2.1 Analysis of the chaotic Dynamics

The presence of the attractor has already been shown in the previous analysis of the system. The system possess two attractors for two different set of parameters viz.,

$$\gamma = 35.0, \delta = -1.26, c = 0.173, \Gamma_1 = 5.0, \Gamma_2 = 2.5$$

and

$$\gamma = 10.0, \delta = 1.26, c = 0.7764, \Gamma_1 = 0.5, \Gamma_2 = 0.25$$

The behaviour of the system with a change in the parameter γ is shown with the help of bifurcation diagram. We have shown the variation of the parameter from $\gamma = 23.0$ to $\gamma = 32.0$. The dynamics of the system is shown in Figure(1) where the system exhibits multiperiodicity for higher values of the parameter γ whereas it is clear that the chaoticity arises from $\gamma = 30.0$ and extends upto $\gamma = 37.0$. In the range of $32.5 < \gamma < 33.5$, there is a sudden transition to periodicity. The unstable periodic orbit finally attains chaos which continues upto $\gamma = 37.0$ and after that again the system intermittently transits to multiperiodic regime.

A detailed stability analysis based on largest lyapunov exponent of the system was carried out over a certain parameter region. The two parameters γ and c were taken into consideration and the system dynamics was distinguished into three different categories according to value of the largest positive lyapunov exponent.

In the stability plot the range of the x-axis and the y-axis are $22 \leq \gamma \leq 32$ and $-0.2 \leq c \leq 0.7$ respectively. The regions representing the dynamics of the system in the (γ, c) plane is shown in Figure(2). The regions marked by cross 'x' depicts chaotic state where largest positive lyapunov exponent $\lambda > 0.05$. A dark dot '•' indicates $0.003 \leq \lambda \leq 0.05$. These regions exhibit multiperiodic as well as chaotic behaviour. Finally, the rest of the plane which is covered by plus sign '+' corresponds to purely stable behaviour with $\lambda < 0.003$. The system illustrates a periodic behaviour or equilibrium state under these parameter conditions.

2.2 Control based on stability criterion

To control the system of nonlinear Bloch equation a time continuous nonlinear dynamic system with input perturbation described by

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x(t)) + u(t) \quad (2.7)$$

where $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $u \in \mathbf{R}^n$ are the state vector and input perturbation of the system respectively. Equation (2.7) without input signal ($u = 0$) has a chaotic attractor Ω .

A mapping $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is defined in n -dimensional space. We suitably decompose the function $f(x(t))$ as,

$$f(x(t)) = g(x(t)) + h(x(t)) \quad (2.8)$$

where the function $g(x(t)) = Ax(t)$ is suitably disposed as a linear part of $f(x(t), t)$ and it is required that is a full rank constant matrix, all eigenvalues of which have negative real parts. So the function $h(x(t)) = f(x(t)) - Ax$ is a nonlinear part of $f(x(t))$. Then the system (2.7) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax(t) + h(x(t)) + u(t) \quad (2.9)$$

Let $D(x(t)) = -h(x(t))$, then the function $f + D = f - h$, is a linear mapping with respect to the state vector x , namely,

$$(f + D)(x) = Ax \quad (2.10)$$

Let, $x^*(t) = x^*(t + jT)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ be a period- j trajectory embedded within Ω . The input signal $u(t)$ is considered as a control perturbation signal as follows

$$u(t) = D(x(t)) - D(x^*(t)) \quad (2.11)$$

Substituting Eq.(2.11) into Eq.(2.9) system (2.7) and (2.9) can be rewritten as,

$$\dot{x} - \dot{x}^* = (f + D)(x) - (f + D)(x^*) = A(x - x^*) \quad (2.12)$$

The difference between $x(t)$ and $x^*(t)$ is defined as an error $w(t) = x(t) - x^*(t)$, then evolution of which is determined by Eq.(2.12) as,

$$\dot{w}(t) = Aw(t) \quad (2.13)$$

Obviously, the zero point of $w(t)$ is its equilibrium point. Since all eigenvalues of the matrix A have negative real parts, according to the stability criterion of linear system, the zero point of the error $w(t)$ is asymptotically stable and $w(t)$ tends to zero when $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then the state vector $x(t)$ tends to the period- j trajectory $x^*(t)$. It implies that the unstable periodic orbit is stabilized. Stable solutions belonging to different basins of initial conditions can also be the alternative solutions of very complicated periodically driven dynamic systems along with the stabilized UPO. The stabilization is obtained by modifying Eq.(2.11) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= D(x(t)) - D(x^*(t)) \\ &= A(x - x^*) + f(x^*) - f(x), \quad \text{if } |x - x^*| < \epsilon \\ &= 0, \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

For our system of equations given by (2.4–2.6) we can write the linear and nonlinear part as,

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\Gamma_2} & \delta & 0 \\ -\delta & \frac{1}{\Gamma_2} & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{\Gamma_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \gamma z(x \sin(c) - y \cos(c)) \\ \gamma z(x \cos(c) + y \sin(c)) \\ -\gamma \sin(c)(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\Gamma_2} & \delta & 0 \\ -\delta & \frac{1}{\Gamma_2} & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{\Gamma_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad h(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma z(x \sin(c) - y \cos(c)) \\ \gamma z(x \cos(c) + y \sin(c)) \\ -\gamma \sin(c)(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the control perturbation signal is derived as follows

$$u(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma z(x \sin(c) - y \cos(c)) + \gamma z^*(x^* \sin(c) - y^* \cos(c)) \\ -\gamma z(x \cos(c) + y \sin(c)) + \gamma z^*(x^* \cos(c) + y^* \sin(c)) \\ \gamma \sin(c)(x^2 + y^2) - \gamma \sin(c)(x^{*2} + y^{*2}) \end{pmatrix}$$

In order to obtain the necessary information on an appropriate location of a desired periodic solution x^* , the strategy of the close return pair is taken into account. The method is to generate a time series of the chaotic trajectory by stroboscopically sampling in every period T when $u = 0$.

The data sampling can be used to detect the close return pairs, which consists of two successive points nearing each other, and indicate the existence of a periodic orbit nearby. Let $x_{i,1}$ and $x_{i,2}$ are used to denote the first point and its successive point of the i th collected return pair, $i = 1, \dots, M$ respectively, where M is the maximum number of collected return pairs. When the first close return pair has been detected, taking the first point $x_{1,1}$ as a reference point, a number of close return pairs nearing the reference point can be detected.

$$|x_{i,1} - x_{1,1}| \leq \epsilon_1, \quad |x_{i,2} - x_{1,1}| \leq \epsilon_2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, M$$

We define the mean value as,

$$x^* = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{i=1}^M [x_{i,1} + x_{i,2}]$$

where x^* is regarded as an appropriate fixed point. This fixed point can be used to define a restriction condition $|x(t) - x^*(t)| < \epsilon$ within which the control input signal $u \neq 0$

We have targeted both the attractors as described in the previous section. In both cases $M = 3$. In the first case, $x^*(t) = -0.221$, $y^*(t) = -0.021$, $z^*(t) = 0.141$ and $\epsilon = 0.45$ and in the second case, $x^*(t) = 0.219$, $y^*(t) = -0.316$, $z^*(t) = 0.790$ and $\epsilon = 0.40$. Figures (3a) and (3b) respectively show the results of control. After a short transition both the attractor stabilizes on a periodic trajectory.

2.3 Synchronization using generalized active control

Bai and Lonngren[15] proposed an active control process and two Lorenz systems were synchronized using that technique. Ho and Hung used the method of generalized

active control to synchronize two completely different systems[16]. Here we show the synchronization of two nonlinear Bloch equations and this technique is different from the one by Uçar et al[17] in the sense that the control signals in this particular case do not contain any positive gain term. It is found to be equally effective and the results can be compared to that obtained earlier. A second nonlinear Bloch equation is considered with the same parameter values as the previous one but differing in the initial conditions.

$$\dot{u} = \delta v + \gamma w(u \sin(c) - v \cos(c)) - \frac{u}{\Gamma_2} + \eta_1(t) \quad (2.15)$$

$$\dot{v} = -\delta u - w + \gamma w(u \cos(c) + v \sin(c)) - \frac{v}{\Gamma_2} + \eta_2(t) \quad (2.16)$$

$$\dot{w} = v - \gamma \sin(c)(u^2 + v^2) - \frac{(w-1)}{\Gamma_1} + \eta_3(t) \quad (2.17)$$

Subtracting Equations(2.15–2.17) from (2.4–2.6) and performing the required calculations, the control signals are obtained as follows

$$\eta_1(t) = \zeta_1(t) - \gamma w(u \sin(c) - v \cos(c)) + \gamma z(x \sin(c) - y \cos(c)) \quad (2.18)$$

$$\eta_2(t) = \zeta_2(t) - \gamma w(u \cos(c) + v \sin(c)) + \gamma z(x \cos(c) + y \sin(c)) \quad (2.19)$$

$$\eta_3(t) = \zeta_3(t) + \gamma \sin(c)(u^2 + v^2) - \gamma \sin(c)(x^2 + y^2) \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1(t) &= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma_2} - 1 \right) \varepsilon_1 - \delta \varepsilon_2 \\ \zeta_2(t) &= \delta \varepsilon_1 + \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma_2} - 1 \right) \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 \\ \zeta_3(t) &= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma_1} - 1 \right) \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_2 \end{aligned}$$

with $\varepsilon_1 = u - x$, $\varepsilon_2 = v - y$, $\varepsilon_3 = w - z$. The parameters are $\gamma = 35.0$, $\delta = -1.26$, $c = 0.173$, $\Gamma_1 = 5.0$, $\Gamma_2 = 2.5$ and the error dynamics is shown in Figure (4) which converges zero indicating the synchronization between the two systems which evolve from two different states.

3 Conclusion

In our above analysis we have studied a different methodology of synchronization in a Maxwell-Bloch system by analyzing its bifurcation pattern and stability. The main emphasis is on identifying a unstable periodic orbit and to adopt the strategy of closed return pairs to control whose effectiveness is represented in our results. Regarding the method of active control, while the previous authors were concerned about two systems with different parameter values our consideration is on two different initial state of the system—that is their magnetization. Our approach does not take into account any gain term as it is more generalized than the previously considered one.

Acknowledgement:: One of the authors B.Rakshit is thankful to CSIR, Govt. of India for a research fellowship in a project.

4 References

- [1]. N. Bloembergen and R.V. Pound - *Phys. Rev. E* **95** (1954) 8.
- [2]. G. Deville, M. Bernier and J.M. Delrieux - *Phys. Rev. B* **19** (1979) 5666.
- [3]. R. Bowtell, R.M. Bowley and P. Glover - *J. Mag. Reson.* **88** (1990) 643.
- [4]. Q. He et al. - *J. Chem. Phys.* **98** (1993) 6779.
- [5]. J. Jeener, A. Vlassenbroek and P. Broekaert - *J. Chem. Phys.* **103** (1995) 1309.
- [6]. J. Jeener - *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 1772.
- [7]. D. Abergel, A. Louis-Joseph and J.Y. Lallemand - *J. Chem. Phys.* **116** (2002) 7073.
- [8]. D. Abergel - *Phys. Lett. A* **302** (2002) 17.
- [9]. L.M. Pecora and T.L. Carroll - *Phys. Rev. Lett* **64** (1990) 821.
- [10]. K. Pyragas - *Phys. Lett A* **170** (1992) 421.
- [11]. E. Atlee Jackson and I. Grosu - *Physica D* **85** (1995) 1.
- [12]. H.J.Yu, Y.Z.Liu and I.H.Peng - *Phys.Rev.E* **69** (2004) 066203.
- [13]. E.R. Hunt - *Phys. Rev. Lett* **68** (1992) 1259.
- [14]. R.F. Hobson and R. Kayser - *J. Mag. Reson.* **20** (1975) 458.
- [15]. E.W. Bai and K.E. Lonngren - *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **8** (1997) 51.
- [16]. M.C. Ho and Y.C. Hung - *Phys. Lett. A* **301** (2002) 424.
- [17]. A. Uçar, K.E. Lonngren and E.W. Bai - *Phys. Lett. A* **314** (2003) 96.

5 Caption for Figures

- [1]. The bifurcation diagram of the Bloch system with respect to the parameter γ .
- [2]. Chaotic domain in the (γ, c) plane. ‘●’ and ‘+’ represent stable states whereas ‘×’ denotes chaos.
- [3]. Temporal evolution of the system after the application of the control perturbation due to stability criterion. a) $\gamma = 35.0, \delta = -1.26, c = 0.173, \tau_1 = 5.0, \tau_2 = 2.5$; b) $\gamma = 10.0, \delta = 1.26, c = 0.7764, \tau_1 = 0.5, \tau_2 = 0.25$; .
- [4]. Error dynamics of the system due to control by generalized active control.

This figure "fig1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0501004v1>

This figure "fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0501004v1>

This figure "fig3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0501004v1>

This figure "fig4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nlin/0501004v1>